

Grading Rubric for 2025 application cycle:

Core Questions:

Has there been any concern how this grantee has spent previously awarded opioid abatement money?	Yes	No	N/A
Is any part of this project NOT evidence-based and/or evidence-informed?	Yes	No	
Does this project include a proposal that is NOT a priority strategy(ies)?	Yes	No	
Are there any concerns that the budget does not reflect the proposed project or requires edits or includes inappropriate items?	Yes	No	

Scoring Overall Proposal:

1 – Unsatisfactory	2 – Needs improvement	3 – Satisfactory	4 – Good	5 - Excellent
Proposal lacks evidence-based practices, fails to adequately address local needs, has an unclear or unfeasible implementation plan, shows no meaningful collaboration, and lacks evaluation or sustainability planning.	Proposal has limited use of evidence-based practices, partially addresses local needs, has gaps in the implementation plan, shows minimal collaboration, and lacks clear evaluation or sustainability strategies.	Proposal uses some evidence-based practices, adequately addresses local needs, has a reasonable implementation plan, shows some collaboration, and includes basic evaluation and sustainability considerations.	Proposal shows strong use of evidence-based approaches, clearly addresses local needs, has a solid implementation plan, demonstrates good collaboration, and includes a clear evaluation and sustainability plan.	Proposal demonstrates exceptional use of evidence-based practices, addresses critical local needs, has a clear and feasible implementation plan, shows strong collaboration, and has a robust evaluation and sustainability strategy.