

For Office Use Only:

Application number _____

Unique application identifiers have been assigned to eliminate identifiers where possible and promote objectivity in scoring.

Priority for submitter _____

First round of scoring will only address the highest priorities as self-identified by applicants. All applicants scored in the first round will have “1” in the priority blank. If there is a second round, the priority number will be “2” and so on for each successive round.

(insert application number here)

OERSSIRF Funding Rubric

Does the proposed project address the current priority category? If yes, proceed with application scoring process. If no, do not review until all priority category submissions have been processed. Non-priority submissions will only be scored if all priority projects meeting the threshold score have been funded and additional money is available for distribution.

Rating category	Descriptor for highest number of points	Descriptor for mid-range number of points	Descriptor for lowest number of points	Descriptor for answers that receive no points
Does the project description address the self-identified OERSSIRF category?	20 points – Thoroughly addresses the self-identified OERSSIRF priority need in a clear way	15 points – Addresses the self-identified OERSSIRF priority	10 points – Somewhat addresses the self-identified OERSSIRF priority	0 points – Does not address the self-identified OERSSIRF priority
Does the project demonstrate a financial need for OERSSIRF funds?	20 points – Clearly demonstrates a significant financial need for OERSSIRF funds to implement the proposed project	15 points – Demonstrates a financial need for OERSSIRF funds to implement the proposed project	10 points – Demonstrates a slight need for OERSSIRF funds to implement the proposed project	0 points – Does not demonstrate a need for OERSSIRF funds to implement the proposed project
Is there evidence existing infrastructure would support the proposed OERSSIRF project?	20 points – Clearly demonstrates existing infrastructure will support the proposed project	15 points – Partially demonstrates existing infrastructure will support the proposed project	10 – Does not demonstrate existing infrastructure will support the project but the response outlines a realistic plan to implement supporting infrastructure for the proposed project	0 points – Does not demonstrate any infrastructure to support the proposed project

(insert application number here)

Does the current needs assessment highlight one or more area of risk that would be addressed by the proposed project?	10 points – The current needs assessment highlights multiple risk areas to be addressed by the proposed project; the written description demonstrates a correlation between the proposed project and the risk areas identified in the needs assessment	5 points – The current needs assessment appears to highlight multiple risk areas to be addressed by the proposed project, but the description is unclear and does not fully establish a correlation between the risk areas identified in the needs assessment and the proposed project	2 points – The current needs assessment highlights one risk area to be addressed by the proposed project	0 points – The current needs assessment does not highlight any risk to be addressed by the proposed project
Will the objectives clearly measure the success of the project?	10 points – The self-identified objectives will provide clear data to measure project implementation success	5 – The self-identified objectives will provide some measure of project implementation success	2 points – The self-identified objectives do not provide a measurable way to gauge success	0 points – No measurable objectives were provided
Matching Funds %*	20 points – 26% and above	15 points – 20 to 25%	10 points – 11 to 19%	5 points – 0 to 9%
Column Totals				
Total				

Application Number _____

*

$$\frac{\text{Amount of Funding Requested}}{\text{Total Project Cost}} \times 100 = \text{MATCHING \%}$$

(insert application number here)