



OKLAHOMA

Ethics Commission



Regular Commission Meeting
July 9, 2026 10:00 AM
OK State Capitol, Rm. G-3



Call to Order



Open Meeting Act Compliance



Introductions & Announcements



OKLAHOMA
Ethics Commission



Announcements, consideration, discussion, and possible action on the disqualification or recusal of any Commissioner or Commissioners pursuant to Ethics Rule 1.6



Consideration, discussion, and possible action on minutes for the regular meeting and executive session held June 5, 2026

Consideration, discussion, and possible action on Advisory Opinion AOR 2026-01 regarding campaign finance Rules 2.81 and 2.94, and how the application of the rules would apply to a Political Action Committee (PAC) registered with the Federal Election Commission as an Independent Expenditure only committee.

Advisory Opinion Request 2026-01

A committee registered with the FEC as an independent-expenditure-only committee (a “super PAC”) plans to make only independent expenditures in connection with Oklahoma elections, and no contributions to candidates or candidate committees. Does such a committee fall under the officer requirements of Rule 2.81 and the campaign-depository requirement of Rule 2.94, or do the provisions governing out-of-state political action committees (such as Rule 2.92) supply the requirements that apply instead?



Advisory Opinion Request 2026-01

Rules at Issue in AOR 2026-01

- Rule 2.81. A political action committee shall have a Chair and a Treasurer, who may be the same person. The Treasurer shall be a resident of Oklahoma.
- Rule 2.94. Every political action committee shall establish one or more campaign depositories in a financial institution that ordinarily conducts business within the state.

-Rules summarized for presentation.



Advisory Opinion Request 2026-01

Applicability of Advisory Opinions & Waivers

Rule 1.7. Advisory Opinions.

- The Commission may issue official advisory opinions interpreting these Rules as provided by Article XXIX, Section 5 of the Constitution of the State of Oklahoma and as requested by specific individuals.

Art. 29, § 5. Ethics Interpretations; *Emphasis added.*

- The Ethics Commission may respond, pursuant to its rules, to questions of specific individuals seeking an **interpretation** of the Commission's rules governing ethical conduct.

Rules 4.22 and 5.25 address a Waiver for Preexisting Relationship



Consideration, discussion, and possible action on registration and administration fees for The Guardian System for FY 2027 for the following entities.

Registration and Administration fees for The Guardian System for FY 2027

ENTITIES & COMMITTEES	FEE
Candidate Committees	\$125 per committee
Political Action Committees	\$125 per year
State Political Party Committees	\$125 per year
Local Political Party Committees	\$125 per year as needed
Lobbyists	\$100
Legislative Liaisons	\$100
Lobbyist Principals	\$125



Executive Director's Report

Budget

As of July 2, 2026

<u>Unit</u>	<u>Class</u>	<u>Dept</u>	<u>Bud Ref</u>	<u>Allocations</u>	<u>Pre Encumbered</u>	<u>Encumbered</u>	<u>Current Yr Exp</u>	<u>Prior Yr Exp</u>	<u>Allotment Budget</u>	<u>Available Cash</u>
29600	195 - General Appropriations									93,789.84
	19501	10	25	1,014,630.00	.00	.00	.00	1,014,630.00	.00	
	19502	10	26	1,014,630.00	.00	33,008.00	920,840.16	.00	60,781.84	
				2,029,260.00		33,008.00	920,840.16	1,014,630.00	60,781.84	
	200 - Revolving Fund									758,594.63
	20000	10	24	178,006.00	.00	.00	.00	39,454.75	138,551.25	
	20000	10	25	277,481.00	.00	79,020.54	6,521.65	68,519.16	123,419.65	
	20000	10	26	72,722.00	.00	23,568.55	43,701.37	.00	5,452.08	
				528,209.00		102,589.09	50,223.02	107,973.91	267,422.98	
	210 - Political Subdivisions									210,544.14
	21000	10	26	199,943.00	.00	100,000.00	89,455.86	.00	10,487.14	
	21000	88	26	100,057.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	100,057.00	
				300,000.00		100,000.00	89,455.86		110,544.14	
	211 - Revolving Fund – Specifically for IT/Tech Related Expenses									416,792.11
	21100	10	26	1,500.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	1,500.00	
	21100	88	24	164,186.00	.00	.00	.00	76,129.56	88,056.44	
	21100	88	25	231,540.00	.00	2,007.54	7,018.45	60,623.26	161,890.75	
	21100	88	26	397,495.53	.00	136,341.47	207,734.08	.00	53,419.98	
				794,721.53		138,349.01	214,752.53	136,752.82	304,867.17	
	212 - Guardian Replacement Fund									1,198,678.72
	21200	88	25	1,200,000.00	.00	101,542.12	214,494.78	544,390.00	339,573.10	
	21200	88	26	1,015,000.00	.00	297,552.50	242,436.50	.00	475,011.00	
				2,215,000.00		399,094.62	456,931.28	544,390.00	814,584.10	
	Grand Totals			6,705,147.53	-	774,150.40	1,817,774.55	2,566,703.73	1,546,518.85	2,678,399.44

Executive Director's Report



Budget

As of July 2, 2026

General Operations

Department: 1000010 Admin General Operations

Account	Annual Budget	YTD Budget	Expenses	Encumbrance	Pre-Encumbrance	Total Exp, Enc, Pre-Enc	Annual Variance	YTD Variance	Annual %	YTD %
511 Salary Expense	834,382	834,382	718,939.57	0.00	0.00	718,939.57	115,442.43	115,442.43	86.16	86.16
512 Insur.Prem-Hlth-Life,etc	147,054	147,054	64,001.40	1,139.88	0.00	65,141.28	81,912.72	81,912.72	44.30	44.30
513 FICA-Retirement Contributi	196,200	196,200	169,980.74	0.00	0.00	169,980.74	26,219.26	26,219.26	86.64	86.64
515 Professional Services	65,687	65,687	73,867.45	107,938.30	0.00	181,805.75	-116,118.75	-116,118.75	276.78	276.78
519 Inter/Intra Agy Pmt-Pers S	432	432	723.19	83.66	0.00	806.85	-374.85	-374.85	186.77	186.77
521 Travel - Reimbursements	14,600	14,600	6,415.97	0.00	0.00	6,415.97	8,184.03	8,184.03	43.95	43.95
522 Travel - Agency Direct Pmt	7,400	7,400	782.25	0.00	0.00	782.25	6,617.75	6,617.75	10.57	10.57
531 Misc. Administrative Expen	16,415	16,415	14,192.57	23,305.16	0.00	37,497.73	-21,082.73	-21,082.73	228.44	228.44
532 Rent Expense	700	700	1,019.44	0.00	0.00	1,019.44	-319.44	-319.44	145.63	145.63
534 Specialized Sup & Mat.Expe	0	0	466.28	0.00	0.00	466.28	-466.28	-466.28	~	~
535 Production,Safety,Security	0	0	14.00	0.00	0.00	14.00	-14.00	-14.00	~	~
536 General Operating Expenses	5,900	5,900	3,540.50	12,891.00	0.00	16,431.50	-10,531.50	-10,531.50	278.50	278.50
552 Scholar.,Tuition,Incentive	25	25	54.03	0.00	0.00	54.03	-29.03	-29.03	216.12	216.12
601 AFP Encumbrances	0	0	0.00	11,218.55	0.00	11,218.55	-11,218.55	-11,218.55	~	~
810 Req Only	0	0	0.00	162,299.80	0.00	162,299.80	-162,299.80	-162,299.80	~	~
	1,288,795	1,288,795	1,053,997.39	318,876.35	0.00	1,372,873.74	-84,078.74	-84,078.74	106.52	106.52
Class Funding	Annual Budget	YTD Budget	Expenses	Encumbrance	Pre-Encumbrance	Total Exp, Enc, Pre-Enc	Annual Variance	YTD Variance	Annual %	YTD %
19502 GRF Duties	1,014,630	1,014,630	920,840.16	33,008.00	0.00	953,848.16	60,781.84	60,781.84	94.01	94.01
19601 GRF-Duties	0	0	0.00	57.00	0.00	57.00	-57.00	-57.00	~	~
20000 Ok Coun Campaign, Eth Rev	72,722	72,722	43,701.37	185,811.35	0.00	229,512.72	-156,790.72	-156,790.72	315.60	315.60
21000 Political Subdiv Enforcem	199,943	199,943	89,455.86	100,000.00	0.00	189,455.86	10,487.14	10,487.14	94.75	94.75
21100 Ethics Comm Online Filing	1,500	1,500	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,500.00	1,500.00	0.00	0.00
	1,288,795	1,288,795	1,053,997.39	318,876.35	0.00	1,372,873.74	-84,078.74	-84,078.74	106.52	106.52
Totals for Division 10	1,288,795	1,288,795	1,053,997.39	318,876.35	0.00	1,372,873.74	-84,078.74	-84,078.74	106.52	106.52

Executive Director's Report



Budget

As of July 2, 2026

IS Services

Department: 8800020 Administrative IS Services

Account	Annual Budget	YTD Budget	Expenses	Encumbrance	Pre-Encumbrance	Total Exp, Enc, Pre-Enc	Annual Variance	YTD Variance	Annual %	YTD %
511 Salary Expense	71,062	71,062	74,899.12	0.00	0.00	74,899.12	-3,837.12	-3,837.12	105.40	105.40
512 Insur.Prem-Hlth-Life,etc	14,820	14,820	13,920.16	0.00	0.00	13,920.16	899.84	899.84	93.93	93.93
513 FICA-Retirement Contributi	17,524	17,524	17,898.49	0.00	0.00	17,898.49	-374.49	-374.49	102.14	102.14
515 Professional Services	988,513	988,513	233,733.84	308,646.28	0.00	542,380.12	446,132.41	446,132.41	54.87	54.87
531 Misc. Administrative Expen	296,434	296,434	13,860.13	2,050.22	0.00	15,910.35	280,523.65	280,523.65	5.37	5.37
532 Rent Expense	3,500	3,500	69,928.64	595.59	0.00	70,524.23	-67,024.23	-67,024.23	2014.98	2014.98
533 Maintenance & Repair Expen	117,100	117,100	34,239.04	9,456.21	0.00	43,695.25	73,404.75	73,404.75	37.31	37.31
535 Production,Safety,Security	0	0	34.29	0.00	0.00	34.29	-34.29	-34.29	~	~
536 General Operating Expenses	0	0	726.57	913.73	0.00	1,640.30	-1,640.30	-1,640.30	~	~
541 Office Furniture & Equipme	3,600	3,600	1,502.00	112,625.71	0.00	114,127.71	-110,527.71	-110,527.71	3170.21	3170.21
601 AFP Encumbrances	0	0	0.00	715.91	0.00	715.91	-715.91	-715.91	~	~
810 Req Only	0	0	0.00	0.00	12,819.90	12,819.90	-12,819.90	-12,819.90	~	~
	1,512,553	1,512,553	460,742.28	435,003.65	12,819.90	908,565.83	603,986.70	603,986.70	60.07	60.07
Class Funding	Annual Budget	YTD Budget	Expenses	Encumbrance	Pre-Encumbrance	Total Exp, Enc, Pre-Enc	Annual Variance	YTD Variance	Annual %	YTD %
20000 Ok Coun Campaign, Eth Rev	0	0	0.00	0.00	12,819.90	12,819.90	-12,819.90	-12,819.90	~	~
21000 Political Subdiv Enforcemt	100,057	100,057	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100,057.00	100,057.00	0.00	0.00
21100 Ethics Comm Online Filing	397,496	397,496	218,305.78	137,451.15	0.00	355,756.93	41,738.60	41,738.60	89.50	89.50
21200 Ethics Com Fil Sys Replcmn	1,015,000	1,015,000	242,436.50	297,552.50	0.00	539,989.00	475,011.00	475,011.00	53.20	53.20
	1,512,553	1,512,553	460,742.28	435,003.65	12,819.90	908,565.83	603,986.70	603,986.70	60.07	60.07
Totals for Division 88	1,512,553	1,512,553	460,742.28	435,003.65	12,819.90	908,565.83	603,986.70	603,986.70	60.07	60.07
Totals for Bus Unit 29600	2,801,348	2,801,348	1,514,739.67	753,880.00	12,819.90	2,281,439.57	519,907.96	519,907.96	81.44	81.44


FY 2027 Budget Work Program Approved

- Investing in Education & Compliance
- Utilizing available revolving funds to support Commission priorities
- Adding a dedicated **Ethics Education Specialist**
- Position will develop and implement a comprehensive annual training program
 - Internal staff training
 - Commissioner education
 - Public and regulated community outreach
 - Technology-based learning and on-demand resources
- Continues the Commission's emphasis on proactive education to improve compliance

Compliance Update

THE GUARDIAN SYSTEM REGISTRANTS Active Filers as of June 30, 2026

Active Filers June 2026



Total Guardian Filers: 1786

Changes since last month:

Lobbyists -5
Candidates +45
Other Committees +4

Changes since same month last year:

Lobbyists -4
Candidates +249
Other Committees +13

Executive Summary Dashboard

Metric:	June 2026	Prior Month	Trend
Total Active Filers	1786	1742	+2.4%
Committee Filing Compliance	94%	94%	0.0%
Lobbyist Filing Compliance	98%	98%	0.0%
Reports Reviewed	332	195	+41.3%
Compliance Orders Issued	59	56	+5.1%
Political Subdivision Filings Screened	222	1948	-88.6%

Filing Compliance Rates and Trends

Scheduled Report:

Legislative and Executive Lobbyist
And Legislative Liaison May Report
Due 6/5/26

2026 Pre-Primary Report (2026
Candidates Only) Due 6/8/26

Expected	Filed on Time	Compliance Rate	Trend Month
663	647	97.6%	-0.4%
466	440	94.4%	+0.4%



OKLAHOMA
Ethics Commission

Compliance Update

Public Assistance & Education Metrics

Compliance Assistance Delivered:	June 2026	Prior Month	Trend Month
Phone calls and Walk-Ins	342	367	-25
Emails answered	635	845	-210
Reports reviewed	519	195	+324
Political Subdivision filings screened	222	1948	-1726
Education sessions conducted	11	2	+9
Total Public Interactions	1729	3357	-1628

Enforcement Activity

Proposed/Final Orders Issued:	June 2026	Prior Month	Trend Month	Trend Year
Lobbyist Proposed Orders	15	17	-2	+10
Lobbyist Final Orders	4	2	+2	0
Candidate/Committee Proposed Orders	23	37	-14	-32
Candidate/Committee Final Orders	17	0	+17	+15



Compliance Update

Political Subdivision Implementation Progress


Metric:	June 2026	Prior Month	Trend Month
Political Subdivision PFD Filings	79	1196	
Political Subdivision Statements of Organization	26	6	
Political Subdivision Campaign Finance Reports	117	746	

Upcoming Compliance Deadlines

Scheduled Report:	Due Date	Number Expected
Legislative and Executive Lobbyist And Legislative Liaison June Report	7/15/26	653
Executive Only Lobbyist 2 nd Quarter Report	7/15/26	18
2026 2 nd Quarter Report (non-2026 Candidate Committees and non-Candidate Committees)	7/30/26	384






Political Subdivision Integration



Guardian & Political Subdivision Integration




Public Service Announcement Campaign Website Analytics

*This year vs.
same time last year



Guardian & Political Subdivision Integration Public Service Announcement Campaign Website Analytics

June 2025
VS.
June 2026





Executive Director's Report

Impact Evaluation Publication

LOFT Recommendation to Agency	Status			Comments
	No Action	In Progress	Complete	
Implement a case management system to allow for the tracking of agency resources and outcomes.			☒	The Commission implemented the Clio case management system in December 2025, with 100 percent of new cases logged in the system beginning January 1, 2026. The agency attributes the new system with improved case tracking integrity, reduced administrative duplication, and strengthened consistency of documentation. The annual cost of the system is \$2,856 and is funded from the Commission's revolving funds.
Develop a schedule for reviewing campaign finance filings to ensure that every filing is reviewed for inconsistencies as it is received. This may require reducing the frequency of filings.			☒	The Commission adopted a formal review schedule in March 2025, with all campaign filings now reviewed upon receipt using standardized review criteria.
Create a risk-based audit schedule that examines winning campaigns most frequently, but also audits a random selection of other campaigns.			☒	The Commission initiated its first comprehensive audit/review cycle in February 2026, establishing a replicable, rule-based audit/review methodology for future cycles. The Commission reports this process has allowed for early identification of reporting discrepancies prior to post-election enforcement actions.
Require campaigns to turn over banking records for the campaign account to the Ethics Commission after the general election and when the campaign committee is dissolved.			☒	The Commission exercises its statutory authority to request bank statements during comprehensive audits and investigative reviews. (LOFT note: the Commission does not make it standard practice for a campaign to provide the records upon either the end of a campaign or the dissolution of a campaign committee; requests are only made if the campaign is selected for an audit or review.)



OKLAHOMA
Ethics Commission



Executive Director's Report

Impact Evaluation Publication

LOFT Recommendation to Agency	Status			Comments
	No Action	In Progress	Complete	
Create an annual report that makes public the metrics of the agency and the value added to the state of Oklahoma.			☒	The FY25 Annual Report was published in December 2025 and includes key performance indicators, enforcement metrics, operational improvements, and fiscal stewardship reporting.
Increase training offerings and educational guidance by including on the agency's public-facing website, including: - Recorded training presentations - A list of frequently asked questions and answers - A list of the agency's prior responses to requests for informal guidance - A schedule of in-person trainings			☒	The Commission expanded public-facing educational resources, including: - Publicly available FAQs - Advisory opinions and staff guidance - Recorded training sessions - Published Commission presentations The Commission credits these proactive steps for a 50% decrease in complaints.
Update the Ethics Rules to require a Notice of Allegations to accompany any subpoena.			☒	In April 2025, the Commission adopted a policy requiring a Notice of Investigation to accompany subpoenas, increasing procedural transparency during investigations.
Create a uniform policy with clear criteria for making a complaint or investigation public prior to final resolution of the case.			☒	The Commission adopted formal criteria in April 2025 governing when complaints or investigations may be made public prior to final resolution.
Avoid opening formal investigations into elected officials during the regular four-month Legislative Session; this would not preclude the routine review or audit of a campaign's financial records. This would be analogous to the speech or debate clause of the Oklahoma Constitution.	●			After reviewing practices in other states, the Commission determined that suspending investigations during legislative session would be inconsistent with accountability standards. Investigations continue year-round.
Create a safe harbor provision for those who seek guidance from Commission staff in good faith. This would protect them from penalties, provided they follow the staff's advice. Staff advice should be logged and published on the Commission's website.			☒	While the Commission has not formally put in place protections for those seeking guidance, it documents guidance provided to individuals who seek clarification regarding compliance with the Ethics Rules prior to taking action. According to the Commission, maintaining a record of these inquiries supports transparency.



Emerging Issues: Artificial Intelligence in Campaign Communications

- Maryland Case Study -

Maryland SB 361 (2025)

The bill:

- Expands election fraud to include the use of synthetic media (deepfakes);
- prohibits using fraud to influence a voter's voting decision;
- defines synthetic media/deepfakes;
- places enforcement within Maryland's election law—not campaign finance disclosure



Emerging Issues: Artificial Intelligence in Campaign Communications - Maryland Case Study -

Maryland deliberately did not frame this as a campaign finance disclosure law. Instead, it amended election fraud statutes, making the offense:

- fraud-based;
- requiring fraudulent intent;
- requiring an effort to influence a voter;
- enforced through election/criminal law rather than an ethics commission.



Emerging Issues: Artificial Intelligence in Campaign Communications - Maryland Case Study -

A possible "two-track" Oklahoma approach if there was a partnership effort with the Legislature:

- **The Ethics Commission promotes transparency and disclosure in campaigns.**
- **The Legislature determines what conduct should constitute election fraud or another criminal offense.**



Emerging Issues: Artificial Intelligence in Campaign Communications - Maryland Case Study -

ETHICS COMMISSION	LEGISLATURE
➤ Require disclosure that AI-generated or materially altered content includes a disclaimer. ➤ Civil enforcement (administrative fines, campaign finance penalties). ➤ Transparency-focused.	➤ Prohibit knowingly fraudulent AI deepfakes intended to deceive voters. ➤ Criminal penalties or civil actions brought by the Attorney General or district attorneys. ➤ Fraud and election integrity focused.

National Republican Senatorial Committee, et al. v. FEC, et al. (Case No. 24-621)

- Plaintiffs sued the Federal Election Commission (FEC) regarding the dollar limits placed on a political party's expenditures coordinated with candidates. Coordinated expenditures were limited to prevent a donor from circumventing the statutory limits on contributions by earmarking contributions to a political party committee to go to a specific candidate. The Court held:
- 1) base limits on contributions to candidates;
 - 2) earmarking rules; and
 - 3) disclosure requirements together prevent circumvention of base limits and quid pro quo corruption. Additional restrictions are not needed and violate free speech.

National Republican Senatorial Committee, et al. v. FEC, et al. (Case No. 24-621)

The now overruled federal statute.

➤ Summary of Federal Election Campaign Act, 52 USC §30116(d):

(d) Expenditures by national committee, State committee, or subordinate committee of State committee in connection with general election campaign of candidates for Federal office

(1) the national committee of a political party and a state committee of a political party may make expenditures in connection with the general election campaign of candidates for Federal office, subject to the limitations contained in this subsection.

(2) The national committee of a political party may not make any expenditure in connection with the general election campaign of any candidate for President of the United States who is affiliated with such party which exceeds [dollar limits].



National Republican Senatorial Committee, et al. v. FEC, et al. (Case No. 24-621)

How this ruling affects our ethics rule at issue:

- What Constitutes Coordination, Cooperation, Consultation or “Acting in Concert”?
 - If an alleged “independent expenditure” or “electioneering communication” is in fact made in coordination with, cooperation with, consultation with, or concert with, or at the request or suggestion of, a candidate, a candidate committee or their agents or a political party committee or its agents, then **the “expenditure” becomes a contribution to the candidate committee.**
- Contributions by Political Party Committee to a candidate committee are restricted by Ethics Rule 2.32, which states in part:
 - No political party committee shall make, and no candidate shall accept, a contribution in excess of \$25,000.00 to any candidate for statewide office or in excess of \$10,000.00 to any candidate for other state elective office prior to any general election for that office.



National Republican Senatorial Committee, et al. v. FEC, et al. (Case No. 24-621)

Proposed Solution

- Rule 2.32 has not been overruled, since Political Party contributions are still restricted; only coordinated expenditures can no longer be restricted.
- Proposed Solution for immediate purposes:
 - Have Political Parties log their coordinated expenditures as contributions, like normal, but include “coordinated” in the description so it won’t flag as an over-contribution.



Strategic Planning Discussion (2027–2029)

Purpose:

- **Confirm the Commission's priorities for next 3 years.**
 - **Discuss common themes identified through individual Commissioner meetings.**
- **Provide direction to staff for development of a draft Strategic Plan.**



Strategic Planning Discussion (2027–2029)

1990: What Oklahoma Voters Asked the Ethics Commission to Do

- Establish an independent Ethics Commission.
- Adopt ethics rules.
- Investigate violations.
- Enforce those rules through the courts.

2026 Strategic Planning Question

*How do we best fulfill that constitutional mission
over the next three years?*



Strategic Planning Discussion (2027–2029)

Common Themes Identified

- Fair and impartial enforcement
- Education and prevention
- Transparency and public trust
- Public understanding of the Commission's role
- Accessibility and Customer Service
- Preparing for emerging issues



Strategic Planning Discussion (2027–2029)

Mission and Enforcement

Our Constitutional Mission

- ▶ How should the Commission balance enforcement, education and public service?
- ▶ What should always remain at the core of the Commission's work?
- ▶ How do we ensure we remain within our constitutional authority while continuing to serve the public effectively?



Strategic Planning Discussion (2027–2029)

Education and Public Understanding

- ▶ How can education better prevent violations before they occur?
- ▶ What audiences should be our highest priority over the next 3 years?
- ▶ How can we better help the public understand the Commission's role, authority, and limitations?



Strategic Planning Discussion (2027–2029)

Transparency and Public Confidence

- What transparency initiatives would provide the greatest public benefit?
- How can disclosure become more meaningful while respecting confidentiality and due process?
- Are there emerging issues – such as campaign finance transparency or conflict of interest disclosures – that deserve additional attention?



Strategic Planning Discussion (2027–2029)


Future Priorities

- What challenges should the Commission prepare for over the next 3 years?
- Confirm priorities to guide development of the draft Strategic Plan.



Strategic Planning Discussion (2027–2029)

Three Years From Now - How do we know we've been successful?





OKLAHOMA

Ethics Commission



Regular Commission Meeting
July 9, 2026, 10:00 AM
OK State Capitol, Rm. G-3



Executive Session



OKLAHOMA


Ethics Commission



Regular Commission Meeting
July 9, 2026 10:00 AM
OK State Capitol, Rm. G-3



Returning Soon From
Executive Session



Possible Action Following Executive Session

**Case No. CJ-2026-558, State of
Oklahoma ex rel. Oklahoma Ethics
Commission v. RFD & Associates,
Inc., Oklahoma County District
Court.**

**Case No. 2026-13,
alleging violations of Campaign
Finance Rule 2.**

C-25-18

C-26-17

No Action On: Case No. 2026-13



OKLAHOMA
Ethics Commission



New Business



Adjournment



Next Regularly Scheduled Commission Meeting August 13, 2026 @10:00 a.m. OK State Capitol, Rm. G-3

2026 Regularly scheduled Ethics Commission Meetings

Start Time: 10:00am

Location: Oklahoma State Capitol, Rm. G-3

~~Thursday, January 15, 2026~~

~~Thursday, February 12, 2026~~

~~Thursday, March 12, 2026~~

~~Thursday, April 9, 2026~~

~~Thursday, May 14, 2026~~

~~Thursday, June 5, 2026~~

~~Thursday, July 9, 2026~~

Thursday, August 13, 2026

Thursday, September 10, 2026

Thursday, October 8, 2026

Thursday, November 12, 2026

Thursday, December 3, 2026



OKLAHOMA
Ethics Commission